

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

8 * * *

9 PALLE BOGNEAS,

Case No. 3:16-cv-00314-MMD-VP^C

10 Petitioner,

ORDER

11 v.

12 CHARLES E. SAMUELS, JR., et al.,

13 Respondents.

14 Petitioner has submitted a purported *pro se* petition for writ of habeas corpus
15 under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (ECF No. 1-1). The petition is not on the court-required form,
16 and petitioner has failed to submit an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* or pay
17 the filing fee. Accordingly, this matter has not been properly commenced. 28 U.S.C. §
18 1915(a)(2) and Local Rule LSR 3-1.


19 Moreover, while petitioner attaches a 1998 complaint against him for federal tax
20 evasion, he sets forth no discernible factual allegations cognizable in federal habeas
21 and no discernible, plausible factual allegations that would state a claim for which relief
22 may be granted in any event. Petitioner's submissions are delusional and frivolous. The
23 present action, therefore, will be dismissed. Reasonable jurists would not find the
24 Court's conclusions to be debatable or wrong, and the Court will not issue a certificate
25 of appealability.

26 It is therefore ordered that this action is dismissed as improperly commenced and
27 frivolous.

28 It is further ordered that a certificate of appealability is denied.

1 It is further ordered that the Clerk enter judgment accordingly and close this
2 case.

3 DATED THIS 13th day of October.

4
5 
6 MIRANDA M. DU
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28